# Syntaxonomical and ecological diversity of the class *Polygono-Poetea annuae* in Bulgaria

Kiril Vassilev<sup>1\*</sup>, Momchil Nazarov<sup>1</sup>, Constantin Mardari<sup>2</sup>, Borislav Grigorov<sup>3</sup>, Stoyan Georgiev<sup>4</sup>, Beloslava Genova<sup>5</sup>, Nikolay Velev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Department of Plant and Fungal Diversity and Resources, 23 G. Bonchev str., 1113, Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup> Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Anastasie Fătu Botanical Garden, 7-9 Dumbrava Roșie, 700487 Iași, Romania

<sup>3</sup> Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculty of Geology and Geography, bul. "Tsar Osvoboditel" 15, 1504, Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Field Crops, 2 Grigori Dimitrov str., 6200, Chirpan, Bulgaria

<sup>5</sup> University of Plovdiv Agricultural Acedemy, "Paisii Hilendarski", Faculty of Biology, 24 Tzar Asen str., 4000, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

**Abstract** – Class *Polygono-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Mart. 1975 includes therophyte-rich vegetation on trampled habitats. The study presents the first analysis of the syntaxonomy of this class and its ecology in Bulgaria. One hundred seventy-five relevés from this class were collected and stored in the Balkan Vegetation Database during 2017–2020. Numerical classification (hierarchical divisive) and ordination were performed by JUICE and CANOCO software packages. Diagnostic species were determined by calculating the Phi-coefficient. Four associations, *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri, Polygonetum arenastri, Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri* and *Poëtum annuae*, were recognized. Their floristic composition has been shaped mainly by climatic and soil conditions. *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum annuae* has been found at lower altitudes, occurring in fully lighted habitats with high radiation, whereas *Poëtum annuae* has been found at higher altitudes in wetter and cooler areas. On the other hand, stands of *Polygonetum arenastri* have been found on nutrient-rich soils, whereas communities of *Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri* were distributed in warmer and nutrient-poor areas. The *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class is still poorly studied in Bulgaria and much more information from all regions of the country needs to be collected and analyzed.

Key words: Braun-Blanquet approach, *Polygono arenastri-Poetalia annuae*, *Saginion procumbentis*, trampled vegetation

# Introduction

The class *Polygono-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Mart. 1975 includes disturbed vegetation of trampled habitats, formed mostly by annual plants with a ruderal or stress-tolerant life strategy (Láníková 2009). This vegetation is typical of anthropogenically influenced surfaces (roads, parks, parking lots, agricultural roads, playgrounds, etc.). Conditions are unfavorable and include mechanical pressure, leading to vegetation disruption. Soils are weakly aerated and highly compacted and sites have been sealed which leads to there being limited space for plants to grow. Drainage is also poor and plants that adapt and form these phytocoenoses are R and RS strategists (Grime 2001). The syntaxonomical diversity of this vegetation has not been studied in Bulgaria due to a lack of rare plants, as well as plants with high conservation importance. Anthropogenic pressure in the last 60-80 years led to an increase in the size of areas with communities of the *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class. The class has been studied in different European countries: the Czech Republic (Láníková 2009), Slovakia (Jarolímek et al. 1997, Redneková and Mičieta 2017), Romania (Coldea 2012, Sanda et al. 2008), Slovenia (Čarni 2005), Austria (Mucina 1993), Albania (Dring et al. 2002), Slovenia (Šilc 2009, Šilc and Košir 2006), Croatia (Škvorc et al. 2017), North Macedonia (Čarni et al. 2002), etc. Accord-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: kiril5914@abv.bg

ing to Mucina et al. (2016) the class includes one order (*Polygono arenastri-Poetalia annuae* Tx. in Géhu et al. 1972 corr. Rivas-Mart. et al. 1991) and three alliances (*Polygono-Coronopodion* Sissingh 1969, *Polycarpion tetraphylli* Rivas-Mart. 1975 and *Saginion procumbentis* Tx. et Ohba in Géhu et al. 1972).

Synanthropic vegetation in Bulgaria (incl. classes of Stellarietea mediae s.l., Artemisietea vulgaris, Epilobietea angustifolii, Galio-Urticetea, Bidentetea tripartitae and Oryzetea sativae) has also been poorly studied. Data concerning this vegetation were presented in the publications of Kolev (1976), Mucina and Kolbek (1989), Dimitrov (2004), Dimitrov et al. (2005), Tzonev (2009), and Gussev et al. (2020). The syntaxonomical diversity includes 6 classes, 11 orders, 16 alliances, 33 associations, five plant communities (cf. Tzonev et al. 2009), and the prevailing number of syntaxa was described only from a single relevé. Moreover, some vegetation plots e.g. published by Kolev (1976) had a size of only 1 m<sup>2</sup>, which is not recommended from the current perspective (see Chytrý and Otýpková 2003) and might not include the complete floristic composition since they are characterized by lower species richness than exhibited by recent data.

The aim of this study is to investigate the distribution, ecology and syntaxonomical diversity of the class of *Polygono-Poetea annuae* in Bulgaria.

## Materials and methods

#### Study area

The *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class Rivas-Mart. et al. 1975 is found within areas diverse from the point of view of physical geography, e.g. lowlands, valleys, hills, plateaus, low and high mountains in Bulgaria. The most prominent lowland and valley features include the large areas of the Danube Valley and the Thracian Valley as well as the smaller ones of Sofia, Burgas and Kostenets-Dolna Banya Valleys. They fall mainly within the hypsometric zone between 0 m and 500-600 m a.s.l. The hilly and plateau relief includes the features of the Dobrudzha, Frangensko, Shumensko and Ludogorsko Plateaus, as well as the Haskovski and Chirpan Highlands, ranging from 300 m to 600 m a.s.l. Low mountainous territories include the areas of the Forebalkan, the mountains of Mala Planina, Chepan, Viskyar, Lyulin and Ihtimanska Sredna Gora that fall mainly within the hypsometric zone between 600 m and 1200-1300 m a.s.l. The highest mountains in the research area are the Balkan Range, Vitosha and Rila being three of the four highest mountains in the country.

The climate is temperate with large territories in the zone with transitional climates and areas with sub-Mediterranean features along the Black Sea coast. The water resources include some of the largest rivers in the country: the Iskar, Maritsa, Yantra and Ogosta along with their tributaries, accompanied by some shorter but still important river arteries, such as the Rositsa and Nishava. Soil types are diverse as well, including *Chernozems*, *Cambisols*, *Vertisols*, *Luvisols*, *Fluvisols* and *Leptosols*.

### Field sampling and data analysis

In Bulgaria 175 vegetation plots (relevés) presenting trampled vegetation, were sampled during the 2017-2020 period following the Braun-Blanquet approach (Westhoff and van der Maarel 1973). The plot size was 10-25 m<sup>2</sup>, as recommended for synanthropic communities (Chytrý and Otýpková 2003). All relevés were stored in the Balkan Vegetation Database (Vassilev et al. 2020, currently including 18406 relevés) and analyzed with JUICE 7.0 (Tichý 2002) software.

Altitude, slope inclination and location were determined by a Garmin eTrex Vista device and the aspect of the slope with a compass for each relevé. Soil depth was estimated in three degrees: (1) shallow (<10 cm depth), (2) moderately deep (10-20 cm) and (3) deep (>20 cm).

Classification of relevés was carried out with JUICE 7.0 software (Tichý 2002). Modified TWINSPAN (Roleček et al. 2009) was applied for clustering the total number of sampled relevés and for the analysis of associations' heterogeneity. The diagnostic species were identified according to the Phi-coefficient (Chytrý et al. 2002). Only statistically significant values of Phi-coefficients, evaluated with Fisher's exact test (P < 0.05), have been given in the synoptic table. All clusters have been standardized to equal sizes. The threshold value for a species that is considered as diagnostic was set at a Phi-coefficient  $\geq 0.3$  (multiplied by 100). Species with Phi-coefficient  $\geq 0.5$  have been considered highly diagnostic. The species in the synoptic table have been ordered according to a decrease in the fidelity value. The diagnostic role of the species has also been considered according to available literature sources. The text presents diagnostic species that are the result of current analysis, whereas the tables also include those given as diagnostic in the literature. Two values have been presented for each species in the synoptic table: "Fidelity" - expressed by the Phicoefficient and "Frequency" expressed as a percentage. Species with coverage above 50% in at least 20% of the relevés in any cluster have been considered as dominant, whereas constant species were those having at least 50% presence in a cluster. Differences in the ecological preferences of the four plant associations have been assessed using the Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests.

Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) has been used as an indirect ordination technique using the CANOCO 4.5 software package (ter Braak and Šmilauer 2002) to reveal the major environmental gradients shaping the floristic composition. Square root transformation and downweighting of rare species have been applied. The habitat's ecological conditions has been assessed using the "Ellenberg Indicator Values" (Ellenberg et al. 1992) passively projected onto the ordination space. The significance of correlation of mean EIVs with scores of samples along ordination axes has been calculated using the modified permutation test elaborated by Zelený (Zelený and Schaffers 2012) and implemented in the stand-alone application MoPeT (available at: https://davidzeleny.net/wiki/doku.php/eiv:software).

The nomenclature of vascular plants is following Delipavlov and Cheshmedzhiev (2003) and has subsequently been standardized according to the Euro+Med PlantBase (2006-2020). The floristic elements have been interpreted according to Assyov and Petrova (2012). The species' life forms have been determined according to the classification of Raunkiær (1934). The nomenclature of the high rank syntaxa has been harmonized with Mucina et al. (2016). All species determined to genus level have been deleted. In addition, bryophytes and lichens have been removed from the species composition because they still are not determined for all relevés. We have also merged the following species into aggregates: Achillea millefolium agg. (Achillea millefolium, A. distans, A. collina, A. setacea), Cerastium fontanum agg. (Cerastium fontanum, C. fontanum subsp. vulgare), Juniperus communis agg. (Juniperus communis, J. communis subsp. nana), Tragopogon pratensis agg. (Tragopogon pratensis, T. pratensis subsp. orientalis), Trifolium repens agg. (Trifolium repens, T. repens var. orbelicum), Verbascum longifolium agg. (Verbascum longifolium, V. longifolium subsp. pannosum), Vicia villosa agg. (V. villosa, V. villosa subsp. varia) and Polygonum aviculare agg. (Polygonum aviculare, P. rurivagum).

# Results

The 175 relevés have been classified into 4 associations, as a result of the analyses conducted and all of them have

been defined floristically and ecologically (Tables 1, 2, Figs. 2, 3). The proposed syntaxonomical scheme is as follows:

Polygono-Poetea annuae Rivas-Mart. 1975

- *Polygono arenastri-Poetalia annuae* Tx. in Géhu et al. 1972 corr. Rivas-Mart. et al. 1991
  - Polygono-Coronopodion Sissingh 1969

*Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri* Soó ex Bodrogközy 1966 corr. Borhidi 2003

- Polygonetum arenastri Gams 1927 corr. Lanikova in Chytry 2009
- Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri Br.-Bl. 1930 em. Lohmeyer 1975
- Saginion procumbentis Tx. et Ohba in Géhu et al. 1972

Poëtum annuae Gams 1927

*Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri* Soó ex Bodrogközy 1966 corr. Borhidi 2003 (Tab. 1, On-line Suppl. Tab. 1)

Diagnostic species: Sclerochloa dura

- **Constant species:** *Polygonum aviculare* agg. (94%), *Lolium perenne* (70%)
- **Dominant species:** *Polygonum aviculare* agg. (40%), *Sclerochloa dura* (32%)

**Distribution and ecology**: This association is widely distributed in Bulgaria (Fig. 1) and has been identified between 100 and 570 m a.s.l., in the Sofia lowland (Sofia city, Elin Pelin, Gorna Malina, Kostinbrod, Bozhurishte municipalities), the Thracian plain (Chirpan, Brezovo, Sadovo, Bratya Daskalovi, Rakovski municipalities), Mt Sredna



Fig. 1. Map of the study area. Sampled locations of the vegetation indicated in relation to association level.

**Tab. 1**. Shortened synoptic table of the class *Polygono-Poetea annuae* in Bulgaria. Light grey shade – diagnostic species with Phi > 30; Dark grey shade – diagnostic species with Phi > 50. Life forms abbreviations: B – Biannual, H – hemicryptophyte, T – Therophyte, T-B – Therophyte-Biannual. Florisric elements abbreviations: Adv – Adventive, Eur-As – Euro-Asiatic, Eur-Bal – Euro-Balkanic, Eur-Sib

Immune odition-Polygonetum aremastri $47$ $46$ $34$ $34$ ordino-Polygonetum aremastri $Phi$ $\%$ friquency $Phi$ $\%$ friquency $Phi$ $voluon-Polygonetum aremastri\dots33\dots9\dotsVoluon perturb\dots33\dots28\dotsVoluon perturb\dots3411\dots28\dotsVoluon perturb\dots3411\dots28\dotsVoluon perturb\dots18.411\dots28\dotsVoluon perturb\dots18.411\dots28\dotsVoluon arcidare agg.1\dots34\dots19.7100\dotsVoluon arcidare agg.1\dots34\dots1613.7100\dotsVoluon arcidare agg.1\dots34\dots19.7100\dots100Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots0400\dots100\dots100Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots00\dots00000Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots00000000Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots00000Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots00000Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots00000Voluon arcidare agg.1\dots00\dots$			Sclerochloo	Sclerochloo-Polygonetum	Polygonet	Polygonetum arenastri	Poëtun	Poëtum annuae	Lolio-Pc	Lolio-Polygonetum
Phi         % friquency         Phi         % friquency         Phi           mastri         66.1         83          9            mastri         66.1         83          72            tarbin         66.1         83          72            tarbin         11          28          28           tarbin         118.4         111          28            tarbin         118.4         111          28            tarbin         118.4         111          28            tarbin          34          28            tarbin          34          4         55.4           tarbin          2          4         55.4           tarbin          35          35            tarbin          35          35            tarbin          35          35 </th <th></th> <th>f relevés</th> <th>47</th> <th></th> <th>46</th> <th></th> <th>34</th> <th></th> <th>48</th> <th></th>		f relevés	47		46		34		48	
mastri $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ <			Phi	% friquency	Phi	% friquency	Phi	% friquency	Phi	% friquency
durat         66.1         83          9          9            enume <sup>2</sup> 70          23          23            truba         11          04         19.7         100             truba          34          34          28           28           28                  28               28	ies of Sclerochloo-Polygonetum area	enastri								
entre <sup>2</sup> 70        72          lacylon        45        28          lacylon        34       11        28          lacylon       18.4       11        28        59          lacylon        34       19.7       100         53.5          epens agg <sup>4</sup> 5        4       55.4           untua <sup>3</sup> 2        34        35        53.5          untua <sup>3</sup> 2        34        35          untua <sup>3</sup> 2        35        35          glabra        0        35        35          indua        0        2        36          induar        0        0           i		ı dura <sup>1</sup>	66.1	83		6	-	6		21
actylon         45 $\sim$ 28 $\sim$ heba         11 $\sim$ 0 $\sim$ aviculare agg. <sup>3</sup> $\sim$ 94         19.7         100 $\sim$ aviculare agg. <sup>3</sup> $\sim$ $\sim$ 34 $\sim$ 15         53.5           epens agg. <sup>4</sup> $\sim$ $\sim$ 34 $\sim$ 15         61.8           unua <sup>3</sup> $\sim$ $\sim$ 34 $\sim$ 15         61.8           rese pastoris <sup>3</sup> 49.6         89 $\sim$ 16         35.4           arsa pastoris <sup>3</sup> 49.6         89 $\sim$ 35 $\sim$ $\sim$ filantica $\sim$ 0 $\sim$ 0 $\sim$ 27.5 $\sim$ $\sim$ filantiba $\sim$ 0 $\sim$ <td></td> <td>renne²</td> <td>1</td> <td>70</td> <td></td> <td>72</td> <td></td> <td>91</td> <td>25.9</td> <td>100</td>		renne²	1	70		72		91	25.9	100
India         11          0 $aviculare agg.^3$ 94         19.7         100 $aviculare agg.^3$ 94         19.7         100 $aviculare agg.^3$ 3          7         61.8 $apora          2          4         55.4         55.4           apora          2          4         55.4         55.4           arsa pastoris^5         49.6         89          35             arsa pastoris^5         49.6         89          35             arsa pastoris^6         49.6         89          35             arsa pastoris^6          0          0             arso phia          2          0             arso phia          2          0           $		lactylon		45		28	-	44		38
$aviculare agg.^3$ 9419.7100 $mua^3$ 341553.5 $apprs agg.^4$ 61553.5 $apprs agg.^4$ 341553.5 $apprs agg.^4$ 341553.5 $apprs agg.^4$ 341553.5 $apprs agg.^4$ 3435 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $ara-pastoris^5$ 49.68935 $arapinal027.5aruthra027.5aruthra00aruthra20aruthra20aruthra20aruthra20aruthra20aruthra20aruthra20aruthra$		traba	18.4	11	:	0		0	1	9
$aviculare agg.^3$ 94       19.7       100 $mma^3$ 34        15       53.5 $appas agg.^4$ 6        4       55.4 $appas agg.^4$ 2        4       55.4 $appas agg.^4$ 2        4       55.4 $arsa-pastoris^3$ 49.6       89        35 $arsa-pastoris^3$ 49.6       89        0       21.2 $arsa-pastoris^3$ 49.6       89        0       21.2 $arbita$ 0        0       21.2 $arbita$ 0        0 $arbita$ 0      <	ies of Polygonetum arenastri									
mma <sup>3</sup> $34$ $15$ $53.5$ epors agg. <sup>4</sup> $2$ $4$ $55.4$ upors $2$ $4$ $55.4$ repris agg. <sup>4</sup> $2$ $4$ $55.4$ arsa-pastoris <sup>5</sup> $49.6$ $89$ $35$ glabra $0$ $35$ ribra $0$ $32$ glabra $0$ $0$ $212$ ribra $0$ $0$ $212$ a sophia $2$ $2$ a sophia $2$ $2$ a sophia $2$ $27.5$ $$ $27.5$ a sophia $2$ $$ $2$ $$ a sophia $2$ $$ $2$ $$ a sophia	Kos Polygonum	1 aviculare agg. <sup>3</sup>	-	94	19.7	100		79	:	85
mma <sup>3</sup> 34        15       53.5         epens agg <sup>4</sup> 2        4       55.4         epens agg <sup>4</sup> 2        4       55.4         repens agg <sup>4</sup> 2        4       55.4         repens agg <sup>4</sup> 2        35        55.4         resonance       49.6       89        35        35          resonance        0        0        0           resonance        2        2        2           resonance        0        2        0           underale        2        0        0           underale        2        0        0           underale        2        0 <td></td>										
epens agg. <sup>4</sup> 6        7       618         ugor <sup>3</sup> 2        4       55.4         usa-pastoris <sup>5</sup> 49.6       89        35        618         ursa-pastoris <sup>5</sup> 49.6       89        35        55.4         ursa-pastoris <sup>5</sup> 49.6       89        35        35          ursa-pastoris <sup>5</sup> 49.6       89        35        35          trubra        0        0        2        2          trubra        2        2        2        2          tita        2        2        2	-	annua <sup>3</sup>		34		15	53.5	85		25
aigor $$ $2$ $$ $4$ $5.4$ $arsa-pastoris^{5}$ $49.6$ $89$ $$ $35$ $$ $glabra$ $$ $0$ $$ $35$ $$ $glabra$ $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $21.2$ $arubra$ $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $40.3$ $arubra$ $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $21.2$ $arubra$ $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$ $0$ $$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$ $0$ $$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$ $0$ $$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $arubra$ $$ $$		repens agg.4	-	9		7	61.8	71		15
<i>ursa-pastoris</i> 49.6     89      35 <i>glabra</i> 0      0     21.2 <i>nubra</i> 0      0     40.3 <i>ffcinalis</i> 2      2 <i>ffcinalis</i> 2      2 <i>nubra</i> 2      2 <i>a sophia</i> 2      2 <i>i utarium</i> 2      2 <i>nutarium</i> 0      0 <i>utarium</i> 0      0 <i>utarium</i> 2      0 <i>utarium</i> 2 <i>utarium</i> 2 <i>utarium</i> 2 <i>utarium</i> 4 <td></td> <td>rajor<sup>3</sup></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>55.4</td> <td>53</td> <td></td> <td>8</td>		rajor <sup>3</sup>		2		4	55.4	53		8
$rsa-pastoris^{5}$ 49.6     89      35 $glabra$ 0      0     21.2 $rubra$ 0      0     40.3 $fricinalis$ 0      0     40.3 $fricinalis$ 2      2 $rubra$ 2      2 $a sophia$ 2      2 $a sophia$ 2      2 $cutarium$ 2      0 $pusillum$ 0      0 $uderale$ 2      0 $uderale$ 2      0 $un album$ 2      0 $uderale$ 2       0 $un arbum$ 2      0 $un arbum$ 2      0 $un arbum$ 2	ies of Lolio-Polygonetum									
	Eur-Sib Capsella bu	ursa-pastoris <sup>5</sup>	49.6	89	:	35		41	:	21
	ies of all. Sagion procumbentis									
i rubra0040.3ficinalis2427.5 $ia$ sophia22 $ia$ sophia22 $ia$ sophia22 $ia$ sophia22 $ia$ sophia22 $ia$ sublum00 $ia$ amuae & cl. Polygono-Poetea amuae0 $uderale20uderale20uderale20un album20un album-$	Eur-As Herniaria g	glabra	!	0	-	0	21.2	9		0
ficinalis24 $27.5$ a sophia22icutarium22pusillum22icutarium00pusillum00uderale20unalbum454.250unalbum430.617nolvulus20nosuroides45.9430sterilis30.1260mica0033.1nerata0033.3pillaris0033.3		a rubra		0		0	40.3	21	-	0
ficinalis $$ $2$ $$ $4$ $27.5$ a sophia $$ $2$ $$ $2$ $$ icutarium $$ $2$ $$ $2$ pusillum $$ $2$ $$ $2$ pusillum $$ $0$ $$ $2$ pusillum $$ $0$ $$ $2$ uderale $$ $2$ $$ $0$ unalbum $$ $4$ $54.2$ $50$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $17$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $17$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $$ unalbum $$ $26$ $$ $0$ unalbum $$ $0$ $$ $0$ unalbum $$ $4$ $30.6$ $$ unalbum $$ $0$ $$ $0$ unalbum $$ $0$ $$ $0$ unalbum $$ $0$ $$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ $$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ underale $$ $0$ $0$ <td>ies of all. Polygono-Coronopodion</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ies of all. Polygono-Coronopodion									
Descurainia sophia22Erodium cicutarium22Erodium cicutarium22Geranium pusillum22Geranium pusillum02Geranium pusillum02Delygono areastri-Potalia annuae $\&$ cl. Polygono-Poetea annuae $=$ 2Chenopodium ruderale $=$ 4 $=$ $=$ Chenopodium album $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Rolpia convolvulus $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Alopecurus myosuroides $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Anisantha sterilis $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Arostis capillaris $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Dactylis glomerata $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ O $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Bronus hordeccus $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Dactylis glomerata $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ Dacty	Kos Verbena off.	ficinalis	-	2		4	27.5	21	-	4
Erodium cicutarium22Geranium pusillum00Geranium pusillum00Geranium pusillum00Geranium pusillum20Lepidium ruderale20Chenopodium album454.250Fallopia convolvulus430.617Alopecurus myosuroides45.9430Anisantha sterilis30.1260Bronus hordeaceus0033.1Agrostis capillaris0033.8Dactylis glomerata4033.8Dactylis glomerata0033.67		ia sophia	-	2		2		0		0
Geranium pusilum00Polygono arenastri-Potalia annuae & cl. Polygono-Poetea annuae $\cdots$ 00Lepidium ruderale $\cdots$ 2 $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ Chenopodium album $\cdots$ 2 $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ Chenopodium album $\cdots$ 4 $54.2$ 50 $\cdots$ Fallopia convolvulus $\cdots$ 4 $54.2$ 50 $\cdots$ Alopecurus myosuroides $45.9$ $43$ $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ Anisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $\cdots$ 0 $30.2$ Bronus hordeaceus $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ 0 $33.1$ Agrostis capillaris $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ 0 $33.3$ Dactylis glomerata $\cdots$ 0 $\cdots$ 0 $33.3$		icutarium	-	2		2		6		10
Polygono arenastri-Potalia annuae & cl. Polygono-Poetea annuaeLepidium ruderaleLepidium ruderaleChenopodium albumFallopia convolvulusFallopia convolvulusAlopecurus myosuroides43Anisantha sterilis30.1267orilis japonicaBronus hordeaceusAgrestis capillarisDactylis glomerataDactylis glomerata <td></td> <td>pusillum</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td> </td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>2</td>		pusillum		0		0		0		2
Lepidium ruderale $$ 2 $$ 0 $$ Chenopodium album $$ 4 $54.2$ $50$ $$ Fallopia corvolvulus $$ 4 $30.6$ $17$ $$ Alopecurus myosuroides $45.9$ $43$ $$ $4$ $$ Anisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $$ $0$ $$ Torilis japonica $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $30.2$ Bronus hordeaceus $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.1$ Agrostis capillaris $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.3$ Dactylis glomerata $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.6$	ies of ord. Polygono arenastri-Pota	ılia annuae & cl. Po	lygono-Poetea	annuae						
Chenopodium album        4       54.2       50          Fallopia corvolvulus        4       30.6       17          Alopecurus myosuroides       45.9       43        4          Anisantha sterilis       30.1       26        4          Anisantha sterilis       30.1       26        0          Torilis japonica        0        0       30.2         Bronus hordeaceus        0        0       33.1         Agrostis capillaris        0        0       33.3         Dactylis glomerata        4        0       33.6	Eur-As Lepidium ri	ruderale				0		0	-	0
AdvChenopodium album4 $54.2$ 50Eur-AsFallopia corvolvulus4 $54.2$ $50$ Eur-SibAlopecurus myosuroides $45.9$ $43$ $4$ $$ Eur-AsAnisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $4$ $$ Eur-AsTorilis japonica $0$ $$ $0$ $$ Eur-MedBromus hordeacus $0$ $$ $0$ $30.2$ Eur-BalAgrostis capillaris $0$ $$ $0$ $33.1$ Eur-MedDarylis glomerata $0$ $33.3$ $36.7$	ith Phi >30									
Eur-AsFallopia corvolvulus4 $30.6$ $17$ Eur-SibAlopecurus myosuroides $45.9$ $43$ $$ $4$ $$ Eur-AsAnisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $$ $4$ $$ Eur-AsTorilis japonica $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $$ Eur-MedBromus hordeaceus $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $30.2$ Eur-BalAgrostis capillaris $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.1$ Eur-MedDactylis glomerata $$ $0$ $33.3$ $3.67$	_	ium album	-	4	54.2	50		6	-	0
Eur-SibAlopecurus myosuroides $45.9$ $43$ $$ $4$ $$ Eur-AsAnisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $$ $0$ $$ $0$ Eur-AsTorilis japonica $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $30.2$ Eur-MedBromus hordeaceus $$ $0$ $30.2$ $33.1$ Eur-BalAgrostis capillaris $$ $0$ $33.1$ Eur-MedDactylis glomerata $$ $0$ $33.8$		nvolvulus	!	4	30.6	17		0		0
Eur-AsAnisantha sterilis $30.1$ $26$ $$ $0$ $$ Eur-AsTorilis japonica $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $30.2$ Eur-MedBronus hordeaceus $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.1$ Eur-BalAgrostis capillaris $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.8$ Eur-MedDactylis glomerata $$ $0$ $$ $0$ $33.6$		s myosuroides	45.9	43		4		ŝ		8
Eur-AsTorilis japonica $$ 0 $30.2$ Eur-MedBronus hordeaceus $$ 2 $$ 0 $33.1$ Eur-BalAgrostis capillaris $$ 0 $33.8$ Eur-MedDactylis glomerata $$ 9 $36.7$		sterilis	30.1	26		0		9		8
Bromus hordeaceus 2 0 33.1 Agrostis capillaris 0 0 33.8 Dactylis glomerata 4 9 36.7		mica	1	0		0	30.2	12		0
Agrostis capillaris 0 0 33.8 Dactylis glomerata 4 9 36.7		rdeaceus	-	2		0	33.1	24		9
Dactylis glomerata 4 9 36.7		pillaris	-	0		0	33.8	15		0
		omerata		4		6	36.7	41		15
Vulpia myuros 0 2 40.3	subBoreal Vulpia myuros	uros	-	0		2	40.3	24	-	0
0 0 43.3		rrgentea	!	0		0	43.3	35		10
Eur-As Achillea millefolium agg 4 11 48.6 6	,	illefolium agg.	!	4		11	48.6	65		29
Kos         Convolvulus arvensis          36          52          5	_	us arvensis	-	36		52	1	50	30.6	81

Gora (Ihtiman municipality), the valley of the Struma river (Strumyani municipality), the Danube plain (Silistra municipality) and the northern Black Sea coast (Aksakovo municipality). These communities developed in the springearly summer period. This association is distributed in the warmer regions of Central Europe (Láníková 2009). It is also widespread in Romania (Coldea 2012, Sanda et al. 2008), North Macedonia (Čarni et al. 2002).

We identified this association in the lower parts of the country, characterized by extensive sunlight exposure, along agricultural roads, park alleys, near buildings, parking lots and other anthropogenically influenced areas and intensively trampled sites and some abandoned agricultural lands. However, it was of rare occurrence. Terrains were flat or with a slope of up to 5°. Soil depth was diverse – from shallow to moderately deep. Intensive solar radiation during the summer months leads to prolonged droughts. Areas with gley soils periodically hold water, especially in periods with heavy precipitation. This association was typical for areas with high anthropogenic pressures.

**Synmorphology:** The communities of the association had low species richness (the species number varied from four to 29, with an average of 10 species). They had a predominantly semi-open horizontal structure with a coverage of 50 to 100% (84% on average). A 95-100% coverage was typical of the less trampled sites. The dominant species was *Polygonum aviculare* agg., while *Sclerochloa dura* was subdominant. *Lolium perenne* also occurred as a subdominant in some vegetation plots. Ruderal species, such as *Aegilops cylindrica*, *Anisantha sterilis*, *Matricaria chamomilla*, *Cichorium intybus*, *Hordeum murinum*, *Lactuca serriola*, etc. were frequent in the species composition. When anthropogenic pressure was low or missing, *Lolium perenne* and *Ochlopoa annua* increased their coverage. In those cases,

the cover of *Polygonum aviculare* agg. was also larger. Mosses were either absent or had a coverage as low as 2-3%.

In the floristic composition, therophytes prevailed (51.5%), followed by hemicryptophytes (26.3%), therophytebiannuals (11.1%) and biannuals (10%). Euro-Asiatic (26.3%), Euro-Mediterranean (16.2%), Euro-Siberian (10%), Cosmopolitan (10%) and sub-Mediterranean (8.1%) floristic elements prevailed in the species composition.

**Syntaxonomical notes:** The variability of the floristic composition of *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri* is quite high (e.g. Sanda et al. 2008) and presents 12 subassociations in Romania. According to Coldea (2012), there are two subassociations: *typicum* and *chamomilletosum* Morariu 1943. In this article, the small number of relevés did not allow us to make an overall evaluation of the community diversity at subassociation level. The communities of the association *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri* frequently formed mosaics in disturbed habitats with the *Polygonetum arenasti* association and more rarely with the *Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri* association.

#### *Polygonetum arenastri* Gams 1927 corr. Lanikova in Chytry 2009 (Tab. 1, On-line Suppl. Tab. 1)

#### **Diagnostic species:** missing from the current analysis

**Constant species:** *Polygonum aviculare* agg. (100%), *Lolium perenne* (72%), *Convolvulus arvensis* (52%)

#### Dominant species: Polygonum aviculare agg. (80%)

**Distribution and ecology**: This vegetation type appeared mainly in anthropogenic areas characterized by the highest anthropogenic pressure rates in terms of time and intensity, within the *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class in the studied area. We found this association in the following territories (Fig. 1): Sofia Valley (the municipalities of Sofia, Bozhurishte, Elin Pelin, Gorna Malina, Slivnitsa and Dragoman), Western Sredna Gora Mountain (Ihtiman



**Fig. 2.** Classification dendrogram of the analyzed data set with cluster interpretation. According to Modified TWINSPAN classification, four well-distinguished associations of *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class are identified. Associations established indicated on the X-axis. Cluster similarity expressed on the Y-axis.

Municipality), Thracian Valley (the municipalities of Chirpan, Bratya Daskalovi, Sadovo and Maritsa), Danube Valley (Krivodol Municipality), Central Forebalkan (Gabrovo Municipality) and the northern Black Sea coast (Kavarna Municipality).

This association occupied territories near roads, pathways, parks, alleys and parking lots. Terrains were flat to slightly inclined (3-5°). Soils were shallow to moderately deep, frequently clayey. The vegetation was disturbed in some of the plots due to anthropogenic pressure, leading to the formation of tracks. Bedrock types differed.

**Synmorphology:** The species composition of the association was poor. The number of species varied from four to 27 (the average count was 10). The dominating species was *Polygonum aviculare* agg. with a cover from 20-25% to 75-85%. Due to intensive trampling and mechanical disturbances, the tufts of *Polygonum aviculare* were rooted out which had led to differences in the cover. Other common species were *Lolium perenne*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Cichorium intybus*, and *Convolvulus arvensis*.

In the life form spectrum, the therophytes prevailed (50.4%), followed by hemicryptophytes (32.5%), therophytebiannuals (7.7%) and biannuals (6.8%). Euro-Asiatic (20.5%), Euro-Mediterranean and Cosmopolitian (12%), sub-Mediterranean (9.4%), European (7.7%) and Euro-Siberian, Boreal and subBoreal (6%) floristic elements prevailed in the species composition.

The species composition included the diagnostic species of the classes Sisymbrietea (e.g. Amaranthus hybridus, Convolvulus arvensis, Xanthium strumarium, etc.), Papaveretea rhoeadis (e.g. Matricaria chamomilla, Anagallis arvenis, Cirsium arvense, Apera spica-venti, Stellaria media, etc.) and Artemisietea vulgaris (e.g. Centaurea solstitialis, Daucus carota, Cichorium intybus, Elytrigia repens, etc.).

Syntaxonomical notes: The communities within Polygonetum arenastri are ecologically and floristically close to those of Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri. Subdominants in the association of Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri are Polygonum aviculare agg. and Lolium perenne. Moreover, the anthropogenic pressure within its communities was weaker, which led to the presence of more favorable conditions for the development of Lolium perenne. Coldea (2012) classifies the association Polygonetum arenasti Gams 1927 as a syn. of *Matricario-Polygonetum arenatsri* T. Műller in Oberd. 1971. For the Matricario-Polygonetum arenastri association, the edificators were Matricaria discoidea and Polygonum arenastrum [Polygonum arenastrum included in the P. aviculare agg, cf. Láníková (2009)]. Matricaria discoidea was absent in stands in Bulgaria. The current study adopts the conception of Láníková (2009), who considers the association Matricario-Polygonetum arenastri T. Müller in Oberd. 1971 as synonymous to the association Polygonetum arenastri Gams 1927 corr. Láníková in Chytrý 2009.

According to Láníková (2009), the association includes three variants: with *Chenopodium album*, *Lepidium* 

*ruderale* and *Matricaria discoidea*. The latter three not yet identified in Bulgaria.

#### *Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri* Br.-Bl. 1930 em. Lohmeyer 1975 (Tab. 1, On-line Suppl. Tab. 1)

Diagnostic species: missing from the current analysis

**Constant species:** Lolium perenne (100%), Polygonum aviculare agg. (85%), Cichorium intybis (77%), Plantago lanceolata (65%)

#### Dominant species: Lolium perenne (77%)

**Distribution and ecology**: We found this association in valleys and semi-mountainous areas in the country (Fig. 1) – Mt Western Balkan. (Godech Municipality), Mt Sredna Gora (the municipalities of Elin Pelin, Gorna Malina and Kostenets), Sofia Valley (the municipalities of Sofia, Bozhurishte, Slivnitsa, Kostinbrod and Elin Pelin), Thracian Valley (the municipalities of Chirpan, Sadovo and Bratya Daskalovi) and the Southern Black Sea coast (Burgas Municipality).

The association's communities were common on trampled sites, but this disturbance was of a lower intensity. They occupied fields and urbanized areas, sometimes together with the association *Lolio perennis-Cynosuretum cristati* Tüxen 1937. Terrains were flat to gently sloped (up to 5°). Soils were averagely deep, characterized by prolonged droughts during the summer. Bedrock types were diverse.

**Synmorphology:** The communities of this association had a slightly higher number of species than *Polygonetum arenastri* and *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri*. The average species number was 12. The narrow contact of this association with the neighboring phytocoenoses of *Stellarietea mediae*, *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea* and *Artemisietea vulgaris* classes had led to presence of some species typical for these classes.

The dominant species was *Lolium perenne* and subdominant was *Polygonum aviculare* agg. The species composition included taxa resistant to trampling, such as *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Plantago lanceolata*, *Cynodon dactylon* and *Cichorium intybus*. The total cover varied between 65 and 100% (with an average of 90%).

Therophytes prevailed (50%), followed by hemicryptophytes (27.7%), therophyte-biannuals (12.3%) and biannuals (9.2%). Euro-Asiatic (20.6%), Euro-Mediterranean and sub-Mediterranean (12.2%), Cosmopolitian (10.7%), Euro-Siberian and Boreal (5.3%) floristic elements dominated in the species composition.

**Syntaxonomical notes:** The association has been wellstudied syntaxonomically in Romania (Coldea 2012), Austria (Mucina 1993) and Poland (Salachna 2020).

Some authors classify these communities in the class *Plantaginetea majoris* R. Tx. et Preising in R. Tx. 1950 (Chifu and Irimia 2014, Sanda et al. 2008), while others assign them to the class *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea* (Pott 1995) through order *Plantaginetalia majoris* R. Tx. et Preising in R. Tx. 1950 (Chifu and Irimia 2014). Although there are some similarities with other secondary grasslands domi-

nated by *Lolium perenne* and classified in class *Molinio*-*Arrhenatheretea*, there are significant differences in the floristic composition (richer in *Gramineae* and *Fabaceae* species). Rivas-Martinez (1975) classifies all therophyte vegetation on nutrient-rich soils in the *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class, an opinion widely accepted today (Mucina et al. 2016). The pronounced ruderal and synanthropic character, the floristic composition rich in therophyte dwarf herb species as well as the distribution areas were strong arguments for classification of the investigated communities in the class *Polygono-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Martinez 1975.

Poëtum annuae Gams 1927 (Tab. 1, On-line Suppl. Tab. 1)

- **Diagnostic species:** Ochlopoa annua, Trifolium repens agg., Plantago major
- **Constant species:** Lolium perenne (91%), Polygonum aviculare agg. (79%), Cichorium intybus (68%), Plantago lanceolata (65%)
- **Dominant species:** *Polygonum aviculare* agg. (44%), *Lolium perenne* (29%)

Distribution and ecology: We found this association in mesophilous and meso-xerophilous habitats mostly in semimountainous areas and rarely in lowlands (Fig. 1). The localities were in the Western Balkan Range (Godech, Svoge and Dragoman municipalities), Central Balkan Range (the area of the Central Balkan National Park), Central Forebalkan (Gabrovo and Sevlievo municipalities), Western Sredna Gora Mountain (Ihtiman, Kostenets, Dolna Banya, Samokov, Elin Pelin and Gorna Malina municipalities), Thracian Valley (Rakovski municipality) and in the Sofia Valley (Sofia-town municipality). Terrains were flat with slopes of up to 8-10°. Soils were moderately deep, mostly glevic. The association was typical for trampled forest pathways, the shorelines of different water bodies and anthropogenic features, such as parking lots, playgrounds, parks, etc. Trampling was a very common feature for this association.

**Synmorphology:** Moderately rich in species community, which had semi-open to closed horizontal structure with a total coverage of 70-100%. Species of a higher coverage and abundance were Ochlopoa annua, Lolium perenne, Trifolium repens, Plantago major. Annual plants such as Ochlopoa annua, Polygonum aviculare, Matricaria chamomilla, Vulpia myurus, etc., often participated in the species composition. The cover of *Lolium perenne, Trifolium repens* and *Plantago major* extends during the summer, when they reach their optimum. *Trifolium repens* and *Plantago major* are hemicryptophytes that form rosettes and become the dominant species during the summer in some of the vegetation plots. *Poëtum annuae* was characterized by the richest species composition (average number of 16 species in a plot), compared to the other three associations. Some mesic species, such as *Dactylis glomerata, Achillea millefolium* agg., *Plantago major, Trifolium repens* and *Agrostis capillaris* were also typical for this association.

The therophytes (41.4%) prevailed, following by hemicryptophytes (40.7%), biannuals (7.6%) and therophytebiannuals (6.2%). Euro-Asiatic (20%), Euro-Mediterranean (15%), Cosmopolitian (11%), Euro-Siberian (10%) and sub-Mediterranean (9%) floristic elements dominated in the species composition.

**Syntaxonomical notes:** This association is common for different parts of Europe – the Czech Republic (Láníková 2009), Slovakia (Kovář and Lepš 1986, Jarolímek et al. 1997, Redneková and Mičieta 2017), Slovenia (Čarni 2005), Romania (Coldea 2012). Jarolímek et al. (1997) discuss two subassociations – *Poëtum annuae typicum* Felföldy 1942 and *Poëtum annuae matricarietosum discoideae* Jarolímek et al. 1997. The communities of *Poa annua* in Bulgaria were floristically and ecologically closer to those of the typical subassociation.

Lolio-Plantaginetum Beger 1930 poetosum annuae Krippelová 1972 is also well known in syntaxonomical literature (Jarolímek et al. 1997). Mucina (1993) classifies the phytocoenoses of *Poa annua* as a plant community type in Austria. Both syntaxa have similar species composition and ecology to *Poëtum annuae*.

#### Vegetation - environment relationships

The studied associations were distinguished well in the ordination space (Fig. 3, Tab. 2). Eigenvalues obtained: 1<sup>st</sup> axis – 0.408; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis – 0.214. Gradient length along 1<sup>st</sup> axis – 3.13; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis – 2.38. Correlation of Ellenberg Indicator values (EIV) with axes: Light (1<sup>st</sup> axis: -0.1592 n.s.; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: 0.1100 n.s.); Temperature (1<sup>st</sup> axis: 0.2462 n.s.; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: 0.3425\*); Continentality (1<sup>st</sup> axis: -0.5321\*; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: 0.0931

**Tab. 2**. Ecological preferences (EIV) of the four associations classified in the *Polygono-Poetea* class in Bulgaria (means and standard deviation). Significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) among groups (clusters) according to Mann-Whitney post-hoc test highlighted with different font. The *p*-values are derived from the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test.

	Sclerochloo- Polygonetum	Polygonetum arenastri	Poëtum annuae	Lolio-Polygonetum	P-value
Light	$7.58\pm0.28^{\rm a}$	$7.34\pm0.26^{\rm b}$	$7.40\pm0.27^{\rm b}$	$7.46\pm0.28^{ab}$	< 0.01
Temperature	$6.34\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	$6.14\pm0.28^{\rm b}$	$6.15\pm0.23^{\rm b}$	$6.22\pm0.15^{\rm b}$	< 0.001
Continentality	$4.90\pm0.96^{\rm a}$	$4.28\pm0.78^{\rm b}$	$4.05\pm0.42^{\rm b}$	$4.24\pm0.52^{\rm b}$	< 0.001
Moisture	$4.32\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$4.37\pm0.32^{\text{a}}$	$4.31\pm0.37^{\rm a}$	$4.26\pm0.26^{\rm a}$	n.s.
Reaction	$7.25 \pm 1.12^{\rm a}$	$6.96 \pm 1.16^{\rm b}$	$6.62\pm0.66^{\circ}$	$7.05\pm0.44^{\rm b}$	< 0.001
Nutrients	$5.55 \pm 0.39^{a}$	$5.87\pm0.55^{\rm b}$	$5.12 \pm 0.81^{a}$	$5.37\pm0.56^{\rm a}$	< 0.001



**Fig. 3.** Ordination diagram of the analyzed data set along the first two axes. Variables (EIV) passively projected onto the ordination space. Vegetation units expressed by figures of different shapes: circles – *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri*, squares – *Polygonetum arenastri*, diamonds – *Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri*, rectangles – *Poëtum annuae*.

n.s.); Moisture (1<sup>st</sup> axis: -0.1021 n.s.; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: -0.2868 n.s.); Reaction (1<sup>st</sup> axis: -0.1244 n.s.; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: 0.3069\*); Nutrients (1<sup>st</sup> axis: -0.2114546 n.s.; 2<sup>nd</sup> axis: -0.2735 n.s.). *P*-values derived from the Zelený's modified permutation test (\* < 0.05, n.s. – non-significant).

The first axis related to the continentality and microhabitat temperature conditions. Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri occupied lower altitudes, occurring in fully lighted habitats with high solar radiation. In contrast, the communities of Poëtum annuae occupied higher altitudes, in wetter, cooler and shady areas. The variability expressed by the second axis represented the temperature and nutrient conditions. The stands of Polygonetum arenastri occupied nutrient-rich soils, whereas communities of Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri preferred warmer and nutrient poor areas. Polygonetum arenastri formed stands close to arable fields, parks and generally in areas periodically fertilized over the past 20 years. In addition, they grow on gleyic soils, which hold water, especially in periods with heavy precipitation within the studied stands. Generally, this leads to increased humidity. On the other hand, in the communities of Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri anthropogenic pressure and soil types were quite diverse.

## Discussion

This is the first syntaxonomical study in Bulgaria focusing on the class *Polygono-Poetea annuae*, despite its wide distribution in the country. The four associations represent different types of trampled vegetation depending on the anthropogenic pressure and local ecological conditions such as moisture and nutrients. This pressure decreases from the *Polygonetum arenastri* towards the *Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri* and *Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri* associations and it is the weakest within *Poëtum annuae*. According to Čarni and Mucina (1998), the major factors enhancing the variability of trampled vegetation include local floristic pools (mass effect) and the enrichment of trampled habitats by encroaching alien plant species.

These associations in Bulgaria are ecologically and floristically similar to their stands in other parts of Central and Southeastern Europe (Mucina 1993, Jarolímek et al. 1997, Čarni 2005, Šilc and Košir 2006, Láníková 2009, Coldea 2012, Redneková and Mičieta 2017). The mesic Sclerochloo-Polygonetum arenastri association occurs in North Macedonia (Čarni et al. 2002) while Poëtum annuae is found in North Macedonia and Slovenia as well (Čarni et al. 2002, Šilc and Košir 2006). Dring et al. (2002) reports the plant community type Poa annua-Plantago major for Albania. The established low species richness of trampled vegetation in Bulgaria (average species number ranges between 10 and 16) supports the results of Šilc et al. (2012) and Láníková (2009). Trampling and vertical pressure on soil substrate decrease soil aeration, limit the plants' access to soil, water and nutrients and may prevent the germination of many plant seeds (Čarni and Mucina 1998). Generally, the species composition consists of widespread species resistant to trampling such as Polygonum aviculare agg., Plantago major, Cynodon dactylon, Sclerochloa dura, Ochlopoa annua, Lolium perenne. The same tendency was observed by Čarni and Mucina (1998) and Láníková (2009). Some of these species are also widespread in and characteristic for other habitats and vegetation types, e.g. Cynodon dactylon is characteristic for dry grasslands of Festuco-Brometea and Helianthemetea guttati classes, but Lolium perenne is common for the mesic grasslands of the Molinio-Arrhenatheretea class.

So far, our study has revealed the syntaxonomical diversity of trampled vegetation in a limited area of Bulgaria (mainly in the central and western parts of the country), where the climate is temperate. On the other hand, available and analyzed data from regions with sub-Mediterranean climate are still limited. The syntaxonomical and ecological diversities of the four associations established in Bulgaria have not yet been studied in detail, including the level below association. For example, Láníková (2009) comments on three variants of the *Polygonetum arenastri* associations while Sanda et al. (2008) present 12 subassociations.

There are some other associations, belonging to the *Polygono-Poetea annuae* class that we expect to find in South Bulgaria: *Poo annuae-Coronopodetum squamati* Gutte 1966, *Eragrostio minoris-Polygonetum arenastri* Oberdorfer 1954 corr. Mucina in Mucina et al. 1993, *Sagino procumbentis-Bryetum argentei* Diemont et al. 1940, *Rumici acetosellae-Spergularietum rubrae* Hülbusch 1973, *Herniarietum glabrae* (Hohenester 1960) Hejný et Jehlík 1975 and *Lolio perennis-Matricarietum discoideae* Tüxen 1937. Potential territories with sub-Mediterranean climate are the Thracian plain, the Black Sea coastline, the valleys of the Mesta and Struma rivers, the Eastern Rhodope

Mountains and the Eastern Balkan Range. Some of the expected phytocoenoses may exist in the urban areas but have actually not yet been sampled.

We suggest there should be further regional and local studies of the vegetation of this class. We expect the background will come as data from regional and national databases (the Balkan Vegetation Database, the Romanian Grassland Database, etc.), as well as information from the European Vegetation Archive (Chytrý et al. 2016).

## Conclusion

This is the first study focusing on the syntaxonomy and ecology of trampled vegetation in Bulgaria. In all, one class (Polygono-Poetea annuae), one order (Polygono arenastri-Poetalia annuae), two alliances (Polygono-Coronopodion, Saginion procumbentis) and four associations (Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri, Polygonetum arenastri, Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri and Poëtum annuae) have been published for the first time for Bulgaria. The species richness and floristic composition of the associations established come as a result of the environmental conditions and the intensity of anthropogenic pressure. The communities of Poëtum annuae have the highest species richness. Sclerochloo durae-Polygonetum arenastri occupied lower altitudes, warmer and drier areas, while Poëtum annuae occurred in wetter and cooler areas mainly in semi-mountainous regions. The stands of Polygonetum arenastri occupied nutrient-rich soils, while the communities of Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri preferred warmer and nutrient-poor areas. The intensity of anthropogenic pressure has a peak in the communities of Polygonetum arenastri and decreases within the stands of the Lolio-Polygonetum arenastri and Poëtum annuae.

## Acknowledgments

This investigation was carried out with the financial support of the national scientific programme "Young Scientists and Postdoctoral Students", contracts № 22-0078/22.04.2019 and bilateral project "Study of ruderal flora and vegetation on the territory of Bulgaria and Romania".

#### References

- Assyov, B., Petrova, A., (eds.), 2012: Conspectus of the Bulgarian Vascular Flora. Distribution Maps and Floristic Elements. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Bulgarian Biodiversity Foundation, Sofia.
- Čarni, A., 2005: Vegetation of trampled habitats in the Prekmurje region (NE Slovenia). Hacquetia 4, 151–159.
- Čarni, A., Mucina L., 1998: Vegetation of trampled soil dominated by C4 plants in Europe. Journal of Vegetation Science 9, 45-56.
- Čarni, A., Kostadinovski, M., Matevski, V., 2002: Vegetacija na pohojenih rastiščih v Republiki Makedoniji. Hacquetia 1(2), 209–221.
- Chifu, T., Irimia, I., 2014: Class *Plantaginetea majoris*. In: Chifu, T. (ed.), Phytosociological diversity of Romanian vegetation.

II. Anthropogenic grassland vegetation. 2. Pioneer vegetation and weeds, 736-764. Edit. Institutul European, Iași (in Romanian).

- Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J., Botta-Dukat, Z., 2002: Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13, 79–90.
- Chytrý M., Otýpková Z., 2003: Plot sizes used for phytosociological sampling of European vegetation. Journal of Vegetation Science 14, 563–570.
- Chytrý, M., Hennekens, S. M., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Knollová, I., Dengler, J., Jansen, F., Landucci, F., Schaminée, J.H.J, Aćić, S., Agrillo, E., Ambarlı, D., Angelini, P., Apostolova, I., Attorre, F., Berg, C., Bergmeier, E., Biurrun, I., Botta-Dukát, Z., Brisse, H., Campos, J.A., Carlón, L., Čarni, A., Casella, L., Csiky, J., Ćušterevska, R., Dajić, Z. S., Danihelka, J., De Bie, E., de Ruffray, P., De Sanctis, M., Dickoré, B. W., Dimopoulos, P., Dubyna, D., Dziuba, T., Ejrnæs, R., Ermakov, N., Ewald, J., Fanelli, G., Fernández-González, F., FitzPatrick, Ú., Font, X., García-Mijangos, I., Gavilán, R. G., Golub, V., Guarino, R., Haveman, R., Indreica, A., Gürsoy, D. I., Jandt, U., Janssen, J.A.M., Jiroušek, M., Kącki, Z., Kavgacı, A., Kleikamp, M., Kolomiychuk, V., Ćuk M. K., Krstonošić, D., Kuzemko, A., Lenoir, J., Lysenko, T., Marcenò, C., Martynenko, V., Michalcová, D., Moeslund, J. E., Onyshchenko, V., Pedashenko, H., Pérez-Haase, A., Peterka, T., Prokhorov, V., Rašomavičius, V., Rodríguez-Rojo, M. P., Rodwell, J. S., Rogova, T., Ruprecht, E., Rūsiņa, S., Seidler, G., Šibík, J., Šilc, U., Škvorc, Ž., Sopotlieva, D., Stančić, Z., Svenning, J.-C., Swacha, G., Tsiripidis, I., Turtureanu, P. D., Uğurlu, E., Uogintas, D., Valachovič, M., Vashenyak, Y., Vassilev, K., Venanzoni, R., Virtanen, R., Weekes, L., Willner, W., Wohlgemuth, T., Yamalov, S., 2016: European Vegetation Archive (EVA): an integrated database of European vegetation plots. Applied Vegetation Science 19, 173-180.
- Coldea, G., 2012: Les associations végétales de Roumanie. vol. 2, Les associations antropogénes. Presa Universitara Clujeana, Cluj-Napoca.
- Delipavlov, D., Cheshmedzhiev, I. (eds.), 2003: Key to the plants of Bulgaria. Academic Press of Agrarian Univivercity, Plovdiv.
- Dimitrov, M., 2004: Floristic and phytosociological investigation of secondary herbal vegetation in coniferous forest ecosystems. Forestry Ideas 1, 32–42.
- Dimitrov, M., Georgieva, S., Jelev, P., 2005: A study on the vegetation development in the landfill site near Sofia. Forest Science 4, 27–40.
- Dring, J., Hoda, P., Mersinllari, M., Mullaj, A., Pignatti, S., Rodwell, J., 2002: Plant communities of Albania – a preliminary overview. Annali di Botanica 2, 7–30.
- Ellenberg, H., Weber, H., Düll, R., Wirth, W., Werner, W., Paulissen, D., 1992: Zeigerwerte von Pflanzen in Mitteleuropa. Ed. 2. Scripta Geobotanica 18, 1–258.
- Euro+Med (2006–2020) Euro+Med PlantBase the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. Retreived March 14, 2021 from http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/
- Grime, J.P., 2001: Plant strategies, vegetation processes, and ecosystem properties. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Gussev, S., Georgiev, V., Tsoneva, S., Tzonev, R., 2020: New floristic and syntaxonomical data from rice fields in Bulgaria. Botanica Serbica 44, 95–100.
- Jarolímek, I., Zaliberová, M., Mucina, L., Mochnacký, S., 1997: Rastlinné spoločenstvá Slovenska. 2. Synantropná vegetácia. Veda, Bratislava.
- Kolev, I., 1976: Phytosociological Features of the Synanthropic Plants in Bulgaria. Weeds. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Publishing House, Sofia.

- Kovář, P., Lepš, J., 1986: Ruderal communities of the railway station Česká Třebová (Eastern Bohemia, Czechoslovakia) – remarks on the application of classical and numerical methods of classification. Preslia 58, 141–163.
- Láníková, D., 2009: *Polygono arenastri-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Martínez 1975 corr. Rivas-Martínez et al. 1991. In: Chytrý, M. (ed.) Vegetation of the Czech Republic. 2. Ruderal, Weed, Rock and Scree vegetation, 43–70. Academia, Praha.
- Mucina, L., 1993: Polygono-Poetea annuae. In: Mucina, L., Grabherr, G., Ellmauer, T. (eds.), Die Pflanzengesellschaften Österreichs. Teil. 1 Antropogene vegetation, 82–89. Gustav Fischer Verlag Jena, Stuttgart.
- Mucina, L., Kolbek, J., 1989: Some anthropogenous vegetation types of South Bulgaria. Acta Botanica Croatica 48, 83–102.
- Mucina, L., Bültmann, H., Dierßen, K., Theurillat, J.-P., Raus, T., Čarni, A., Šumberová, K., Willner, W., Dengler, J., Gavilán García, R., Chytrý, M., Hájek, M., Di Pietro, R., Iakushenko, D., Pallas, J., Daniëls, F J.A., Bergmeier, E., Santos Guerra, A., Ermakov, N., Valachovič, M., Schaminée, J.H.J., Lysenko, T., Didukh, Ya. P., Pignatti, S., Rodwell, J.S., Capelo, J., Weber, H.E., Solomeshch, A., Dimopoulos, P., Aguiar, C., Freitag, H., Hennekens, S.M., Tichý, L., 2016: Vegetation of Europe: Hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen, and algal communities. Applied Vegetation Science 19, 3–264.
- Pott, R., 1995: Die Pflanzengesellshaften Deutschland. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Raunkiær, C., 1934: The life form of plants and statistical plant geography. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Redneková, A., Mičieta, K, 2017: The trampled communities of the *class Polygono arenastri-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Martínez 1975 corr. Rivas-Martínez et al. 1991. in the ruderal vegetation of Bratislava and their biodiversity. Acta Botanica Universitatis Comenianae 52, 57–69.
- Rivas-Martinez, S., 1975: Sobre la nueva classe *Polygono-Poëtea annuae*. Phytocoenologia 2, 123–140.
- Roleček, J., Tichy, L. Zeleny, D., Chytrý, M., 2009: Modified TWINSP AN classification in which the hierarchy respescts cluster hererogeneity. Journal of Vegetation Science 20, 596– 602.
- Salachna, A., 2020: Differentiation and dynamics of vegetation in the Base Station of Integrated Monitoring of Natural Environment area in Szymbark (Beskid Niski Mts). Journal of Ecological Engineering 21, 10–19.

- Sanda, V., Öllerer, K., Burescu, P., 2008: The phytocoenoses of Romania: syntaxonomy, structure, dinamics and evolution. Ars Docendi, Buchurest (in Romanian).
- Šilc, U., 2009: Vegetation of Zale Cementery (Ljubljana). Hacquetia 8(1), 41–47.
- Šilc, U., Košir, P., 2006: Synanthropic vegetation of the city of Kranj (Central Slovenia). Hacquetia 5(1), 213–231.
- Škvorc, Ž., Jasprica, N., Alegro, A., Kovačić, S., Franjić, J., Krstonošić, D., Vraneša, A., Čarni, A., 2017: Vegetation of Croatia: Phytosociological classification of the high-rank syntaxa. Acta Botanica Croatica 76(2), 200–224.
- ter Braak, C., Šmilauer, P., 2002: CANOCO Reference Manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's Guide: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4.5). Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, New York.
- Tichý L., 2002: JUICE, software for vegetation classification. Journal of Vegetation Science 13, 451–453.
- Tzonev, R., 2009: Plant communities, habitats and ecological changes in the vegetation on the territory of three protected areas along the Danube River. In: Ivanova, D. (ed.), Proceedings 4 Balkan Botanical Congress, Sofia 2006, 321–331. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Publishing House, Sofia.
- Tzonev, R., Dimitrov, M., Roussakova, V., 2009: Syntaxa according to the Braun-Blanquet approach in Bulgaria. Phytologia Balcanica 15, 209–233.
- Vassilev, K., Pedashenko, H., Alexandrova, A., Tashev A., Ganeva A., Gavrilova A., Macanović A., Gradevska A., Assenov A., Vitkova, A., Genova, B., Grigorov, B., Gussev, C., Mašić, E., Filipova, E., Gecheva, G., Aneva, I., Knolova, I., Nikolov, I., Georgiev, G., Gogushev, G., Tinchev, G., Minkov, I., Pachedzieva, K., Mincheva, K., Koev, K., Lubenova, M., Dimitrov, M., Gumus, M., Nazarov, M., Apostolova-Stoyanova, N., Nikolov, N., Velev, N., Zhelev, P., Glogov, P., Natcheva, R., Tzonev, R., Barudanović, S., Kostadinova, S., Boch, S., Hennekens, S., Georgiev, S., Stoyanov, S., Karakiev, T., Ilić, T., Kalníková, V., Shivarov, V., Vulchev, V., 2020: Balkan Vegetation Database updated information and current status. Vegetation Classification and Survey 1, 151–153.
- Westhoff, V., van der Maarel, E., 1973: The Braun-Blanquet approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. In: Whittaker R. (ed.), Classification of plant communities, 287–399. Junk, The Hague.
- Zelený, D., Schaffers, A.P., 2012: Too good to be true: pitfalls of using mean Ellenberg indicator values in vegetation analyses. Journal of Vegetation Science 23, 419–431.